

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

OMID SHARIFNEJAD,)
vs.)
Plaintiff,) Case No.: 2:22-cv-01337-GMN-BNW
AARON FORD, *et al.*,)
Defendants.)
)

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), (ECF No. 12), of United States Magistrate Judge Brenda Weksler, which recommends dismissing the case.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made. D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s R&R where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (See Min. Order, ECF No. 12) (setting a December 21, 2022, deadline for objections).

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 12), is

1 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

2 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the case is **DISMISSED**.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court is instructed to close the case.

4 Dated this 21 day of December, 2022.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge
United States District Court